

Quasi-orthogonal subalgebras of matrix algebras

Hiromichi Ohno

1 Introduction

In the theory of quantum mechanics, an n -level system is described by the algebra $M_n = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ of $n \times n$ complex matrices. The matrix algebra of a composite system consisting of an n -level and an m -level system is $M_n \otimes M_m \simeq M_{nm}$. A subalgebra of M_k corresponds to a subsystem of a k -level quantum system. In this paper, subalgebras contain the identity and are closed under the adjoint operation of matrices, that is, they are unital $*$ -subalgebras. The algebra M_n can be endowed by the inner product $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{Tr}(A^*B)$ and it becomes a Hilbert space. Two subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ are called quasi-orthogonal if $\mathcal{A}_1 \ominus \mathbb{C}I \perp \mathcal{A}_2 \ominus \mathbb{C}I$.

We consider pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_l$ in $M_{p^{kn}}$ which are isomorphic to M_{p^k} for $k \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$ and a prime number p with $p \geq 3$. The aim of this paper is to obtain the maximum l . The case $p = 2$, $n = 2$ and $k = 1$ is shown in [3, 6, 8] and the maximum is 4.

The motivations of this problem are followings. If a total system $M_n \otimes M_m$ has a statistical operator ρ , we can reconstruct the reduced density $\rho_n^{(1)} = \text{Tr}_m \rho$ in the subsystem M_n , where Tr_m is a partial trace onto M_n . In order to get more information, we change the density ρ by an interaction. For a Hamiltonian H , the new state is

$$e^{iH} \rho e^{-iH} = W_1 \rho W_1^*$$

after the interaction. The new reduced density is $\rho_n^{(2)} = \text{Tr}_m W_1 \rho W_1^*$. By using other interactions, we have a sequence of reduced states $\rho_n^{(1)}, \rho_n^{(2)}, \dots, \rho_n^{(k)}$. We want to determine the minimum k such that this sequence of reduced densities determines ρ .

Another reason comes from the relation to the mutually unbiased bases (MUB) problem. Given a orthonormal basis of an n -dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, the linear operators diagonal in this basis form a maximal Abelian subalgebra of $M_n \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Conversely if $|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|$ are minimal projections in a maximal Abelian subalgebra, then $(|e_i\rangle)_i$ is a orthonormal basis. Two maximal Abelian subalgebras of M_n are quasi-orthogonal if and only if the two corresponding bases (ξ_i) and (ζ_j) are mutually unbiased (see Proposition 2.2), that is,

$$|\langle \xi_i, \zeta_j \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n).$$

Mutually unbiased bases are very interesting from many point of view and the maximal number of such bases is not known for arbitrary n . We want to study mutually unbiased bases in terms of quasi-orthogonal subalgebras (see [1, 2, 4]).

In Section 2, we consider quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in M_{p^n} which are isomorphic to M_p . In Section 3, quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in $M_{p^{kn}}$ which are isomorphic to M_{p^k} are investigated.

2 Quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in M_{p^n}

$\mathcal{A}$ is a finite dimensional C^* -algebra with the usual trace Tr and is considered as a Hilbert space under the inner product

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \text{Tr}(A^*B)$$

for any $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2.1 Two subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ of $\mathcal{A}$ are called *quasi-orthogonal* if

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \ominus \mathbb{C}I \perp \mathcal{A}_2 \ominus \mathbb{C}I.$$

The equivalent conditions of this definition are followings [5]:

(a) For any $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$,

$$\text{Tr}(A_1 A_2) = \frac{\text{Tr}(A_1) \text{Tr}(A_2)}{\text{Tr}(I)}.$$

(b) For any $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ with $\text{Tr}(A_1) = \text{Tr}(A_2) = 0$,

$$\text{Tr}(A_1 A_2) = 0.$$

The theory of quasi-orthogonal subalgebras is related to the theory of mutually unbiased bases. This is stated as follows:

Proposition 2.2 *The maximal number of mutually unbiased bases in $\mathbb{C}^d$ is equal to the maximal number of pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in M_d which are isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^d$.*

Proof. For orthonormal bases $\{\xi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ and $\{\zeta_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq d}$ of $\mathbb{C}^d$, define minimal projections in M_d by $P_i = |\xi_i\rangle\langle\xi_i|$ and $Q_j = |\zeta_j\rangle\langle\zeta_j|$. Since $\text{Tr}(P_i Q_j) = |\langle\xi_i, \zeta_j\rangle|^2$, the condition

$$|\langle\xi_i, \zeta_j\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{d} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq d)$$

is equivalent to the condition

$$\text{Tr}(P_i Q_j) = \frac{1}{d} = \frac{\text{Tr}(P_i) \text{Tr}(Q_j)}{\text{Tr}(I)} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq d).$$

From (a), we conclude that $\{\xi_i\}$ and $\{\zeta_j\}$ are mutually unbiased bases if and only if the algebras generated by $\{P_i\}$ and $\{Q_j\}$ are quasi-orthogonal. This prove the assertion. $\square$

It is known that there exist $d+1$ mutually unbiased bases in $\mathbb{C}^d$ if d is a power of a prime number. Therefore there are $d+1$ quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in M_d which are isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^d$ if d is a power of a prime number.

Now we consider the quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in $M_{p^2} = M_p \otimes M_p$ which are isomorphic to M_p , where p is a prime number with $p \geq 3$. We will show that we can construct $p^2 + 1$ pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras.

Define the unitary operators W and S in M_p by

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda^{p-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\lambda = e^{2\pi i/p}$. Then these two unitary operators have following properties:

- i. $S^p = W^p = I$.
- ii. The set $\{S^i W^j\}_{0 \leq i, j \leq p-1}$ is a natural orthogonal basis of M_p .
- iii. Since $SW = \lambda^{-1}WS$, we have

$$S^{k_1} W^{l_1} S^{k_2} W^{l_2} = \lambda^{k_2 l_1} S^{k_1+k_2} W^{l_1+l_2}. \quad (1)$$

- iv. From (1), $S^{k_1} W^{l_1}$ and $S^{k_2} W^{l_2}$ commute if and only if $k_1 l_2 = k_2 l_1 \pmod{p}$.

We consider the commutativity condition iv in the context of a vector space over Z_p , where Z_p is a finite field with p elements. Let $Z_p^4 = \{(k_1, l_1, k_2, l_2) \mid k_1, l_1, k_2, l_2 \in Z_p\}$ be a vector space over Z_p and define a natural homomorphism π (up to scalar multiple) from Z_p^4 to $M_p \otimes M_p$ by

$$\pi(k_1, l_1, k_2, l_2) = S^{k_1} W^{l_1} \otimes S^{k_2} W^{l_2}.$$

We denote a *symplectic product* by

$$u \circ u' = k_1 l'_1 - k'_1 l_1 + k_2 l'_2 - k'_2 l_2 \pmod{p},$$

where $u = (k_1, l_1, k_2, l_2)$ and $u' = (k'_1, l'_1, k'_2, l'_2)$. From (1),

$$\pi(u)\pi(u') = \lambda^{-u \circ u'} \pi(u')\pi(u). \quad (2)$$

Hence $\pi(u)$ and $\pi(u')$ commute if and only if their symplectic product equals zero.

Lemma 2.3 *If $\pi(u)$ and $\pi(u')$ are not commutative for $u = (k_1, l_1, k_2, l_2)$, $u' = (k'_1, l'_1, k'_2, l'_2) \in Z_p^4$, then the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generated by $\pi(u)$ and $\pi(u')$ is isomorphic to M_p .*

Proof. From the assumption, $u \circ u' \neq 0$. Define a map ρ from $\{S, W^{u \circ u'}\}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$\rho(S) = \pi(u), \quad \rho(W^{u \circ u'}) = \pi(u').$$

From (2) and $SW^{u \circ u'} = \lambda^{-u \circ u'} W^{u \circ u'} S$, the commutativity condition of $\pi(u)$, $\pi(u')$ and that of S , $W^{u \circ u'}$ are same. Therefore ρ can be extended to an isomorphism from M_p generated by S and $W^{u \circ u'}$ to $\mathcal{A}$. $\square$

From this lemma, we need to find such u and u' . Let D be a non-zero integer in Z^p with the requirement that $D \neq k^2 \pmod{p}$ for all k in Z_p , i.e., D is not a quadratic residue of p . For any $a_0, a_1 \in Z_p$, we define a subspace of Z_p^4 by

$$C_{a_0, a_1} = \{b_0(1, a_1, 0, a_0) + b_1(0, a_0, -1, a_1 D) \mid b_0, b_1 \in Z_p\},$$

where scalar multiplication and addition are defined by a natural way. Moreover put

$$C_\infty = \{b_0(0, 1, 0, 0) + b_1(0, 0, 0, 1) \mid b_0, b_1 \in Z_p\}.$$

Lemma 2.4 *The only vector common to any pair of above subspaces is $(0, 0, 0, 0)$. In particular, the subspaces except $(0, 0, 0, 0)$ partition $Z_p^4 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\}$.*

Proof. Since there are $p^2 + 1$ subspaces and each subspace has p^2 elements, it is enough to prove that the intersection of any two subspaces is $\{(0, 0, 0, 0)\}$. It is easy to see $C_{a_0, a_1} \cap C_\infty = \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\}$. Therefore we prove that $C_{a_0, a_1} \cap C_{a'_0, a'_1} = \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\}$ if $a_0 \neq a'_0$ or $a_1 \neq a'_1$.

Assume $b_0(1, a_1, 0, a_0) + b_1(0, a_0, -1, a_1 D) = b'_0(1, a'_1, 0, a'_0) + b'_1(0, a'_0, -1, a'_1 D)$, then from the first and third components we have $b_0 = b'_0$ and $b_1 = b'_1$. Similarly, from the second and fourth components we are led to the equations

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 b_0 + a_0 b_1 &= a'_1 b_0 + a'_0 b_1 \\ a_0 b_0 + a_1 b_1 D &= a'_0 b_0 + a'_1 b_1 D. \end{aligned}$$

These equations can be rewritten as a matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_0 \\ b_0 & b_1 D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 - a'_0 \\ a_1 - a'_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $b_0 = b_1 = 0$, then the common element is $(0, 0, 0, 0)$. Therefore we assume $b_0 \neq 0$ or $b_1 \neq 0$. Then the above matrix is invertible, indeed

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_0 \\ b_0 & b_1 D \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = (b_1^2 D - b_0^2)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 D & -b_0 \\ -b_0 & b_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here we use that $b_1^2 D \neq b_0^2 \pmod{p}$ from the assumption of D . This implies $a_0 = a'_0$ and $a_1 = a'_1$ which is a contradiction. $\square$

Since $(1, a_1, 0, a_0) \circ (0, a_0, -1, a_1 D) = 2a_0$, if $a_0 \neq 0$ then the algebra $\text{span}\{\pi(C_{a_0, a_1})\}$ generated by $\pi(1, a_1, 0, a_0)$ and $\pi(0, a_0, -1, a_1 D)$ is isomorphic to M_p by Lemma 2.3.

But if $a_0 = 0$, then the algebra is commutative and hence $\text{span}\{\pi(C_{a_0, a_1})\} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{p^2}$. Therefore we need to mix the subspaces with $a_0 = 0$.

For any $a \in Z_p$, define subspaces by

$$\begin{aligned} D_a &= \{b_0(1, 1, -a, aD) + b_1(1, 2, -a, 2aD) \mid b_0, b_1 \in Z_p\}, \\ D_\infty &= \{b_0(0, 0, 1, 0) + b_1(0, 0, 0, 1) \mid b_0, b_1 \in Z_p\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.5 *The only vector common to any pair of above subspaces is $(0, 0, 0, 0)$. Moreover we have*

$$\bigcup_{a \in Z_p} D_a \cup D_\infty = \bigcup_{a_1 \in Z_p} C_{0, a_1} \cup C_\infty.$$

Proof. The proof of the first assertion is same as Lemma 2.4. To show the second assertion, it is enough to prove $D_a, D_\infty \subset \bigcup_{a_1 \in Z_p} C_{0, a_1} \cup C_\infty$. Indeed, since each subspaces has p^2 elements and the intersection is trivial, the numbers of elements in both sets are equal.

First consider a element $(0, 0, b_0, b_1)$ in D_∞ . If $b_0 = 0$, then $(0, 0, 0, b_1) \in C_\infty$. If $b_0 \neq 0$, then

$$(0, 0, b_0, b_1) = -b_0(0, 0, -1, -b_0^{-1}b_1D^{-1}D) \in C_{0, -b_0^{-1}b_1D^{-1}}.$$

Hence $D_\infty \subset \bigcup_{a_1 \in Z_p} C_{0, a_1} \cup C_\infty$. Next consider the element $b_0(1, 1, -a, aD) + b_1(1, 2, -a, 2aD)$ in D_a . If $b_0 + b_1 = 0$, then

$$b_0(1, 1, -a, aD) + b_1(1, 2, -a, 2aD) = (0, b_0 + 2b_1, 0, ab_0D + 2ab_1D) \in C_\infty.$$

If $b_0 + b_1 \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & b_0(1, 1, -a, aD) + b_1(1, 2, -a, 2aD) \\ &= (b_0 + b_1) (1, (b_0 + b_1)^{-1}(b_0 + 2b_1), 0, 0) \\ &\quad + a(b_0 + b_1) (0, 0, -1, (b_0 + b_1)^{-1}(b_0 + 2b_1)D) \\ &\in C_{0, (b_0 + b_1)^{-1}(b_0 + 2b_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain $D_a \subset \bigcup_{a_1 \in Z_p} C_{0, a_1} \cup C_\infty$. □

Since $(1, 1, -a, aD) \circ (1, 2, -a, 2aD) = 1 - a^2D \neq 0$ by the assumption of D and $(0, 0, 1, 0) \circ (0, 0, 0, 1) = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{span}\{\pi(D_a)\} &\simeq M_p, \\ \text{span}\{\pi(D_\infty)\} &\simeq M_p \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.3. Consequently we have the next theorem.

Theorem 2.6 *There are $p^2 + 1$ pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in M_{p^2} which are isomorphic to M_p .*

Example 2.7 Consider a 9-level quantum system $M_3 \otimes M_3$. We list 10 pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in M_9 which are isomorphic to M_3 :

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{span}\{\pi(C_{1,0})\} &= \text{span}\{I \otimes I, S \otimes W, S^2 \otimes W^2, W \otimes S^2, SW \otimes S^2W, \\
&\quad S^2W \otimes S^2W^2, W^2 \otimes S, SW^2 \otimes SW, S^2W^2 \otimes SW^2\}, \\
\text{span}\{\pi(C_{1,1})\} &= \text{span}\{I \otimes I, SW \otimes W, S^2W^2 \otimes W^2, W \otimes S^2W^2, SW^2 \otimes S^2, \\
&\quad S^2 \otimes S^2W, W^2 \otimes SW, S \otimes SW^2, S^2W \otimes S\}, \\
\text{span}\{\pi(C_{1,2})\} &= \text{span}\{I \otimes I, SW^2 \otimes W, S^2W \otimes W^2, W \otimes S^2W, S \otimes S^2W^2, \\
&\quad S^2W^2 \otimes S^2, W^2 \otimes SW^2, SW \otimes S, S^2 \otimes SW\}, \\
\text{span}\{\pi(C_{2,0})\} &= \text{span}\{I \otimes I, S \otimes W^2, S^2 \otimes W, W^2 \otimes S^2, SW^2 \otimes S^2W^2, \\
&\quad S^2W^2 \otimes S^2W, W \otimes S, SW \otimes SW^2, S^2W \otimes SW\}, \\
\text{span}\{\pi(C_{2,1})\} &= \text{span}\{I \otimes I, SW \otimes W^2, S^2W^2 \otimes W, W^2 \otimes S^2W^2, S \otimes S^2W, \\
&\quad S^2W \otimes S^2, W \otimes SW, SW^2 \otimes S, S^2 \otimes SW^2\}, \\
\text{span}\{\pi(C_{2,2})\} &= \text{span}\{I \otimes I, SW^2 \otimes W^2, S^2W \otimes W, W^2 \otimes S^2W, SW \otimes S^2, \\
&\quad S^2 \otimes S^2W^2, W \otimes SW^2, S \otimes SW, S^2W^2 \otimes S\}, \\
\text{span}\{\pi(D_0)\} &= \text{span}\{I \otimes I, SW \otimes I, S^2W^2 \otimes I, SW^2 \otimes I, S^2 \otimes I, \\
&\quad W \otimes I, S^2W \otimes I, W^2 \otimes I, S \otimes I\}, \\
\text{span}\{\pi(D_1)\} &= \text{span}\{I \otimes I, SW \otimes S^2W^2, S^2W^2 \otimes SW, SW^2 \otimes S^2W, S^2 \otimes S, \\
&\quad W \otimes W^2, S^2W \otimes SW^2, W^2 \otimes W, S \otimes S^2\}, \\
\text{span}\{\pi(D_2)\} &= \text{span}\{I \otimes I, SW \otimes SW, S^2W^2 \otimes S^2W^2, SW^2 \otimes SW^2, S^2 \otimes S^2, \\
&\quad W \otimes W, S^2W \otimes S^2W, W^2 \otimes W^2, S \otimes S\}, \\
\text{span}\{\pi(D_\infty)\} &= \text{span}\{I \otimes I, I \otimes S, I \otimes S^2, I \otimes W, I \otimes SW, \\
&\quad I \otimes S^2W, I \otimes W^2, I \otimes SW^2, I \otimes S^2W^2\},
\end{aligned}$$

where we denote $D = 2$.

Next, we consider pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in M_{p^n} which are isomorphic to M_p . The dimensions of $M_{p^n} \ominus \mathbb{C}I$ and $M_p \ominus \mathbb{C}I$ are $p^{2n} - 1$ and $p^2 - 1$, respectively. Hence such subalgebras are at most $N_n := p^{2n} - 1 / p^2 - 1$. We construct N_n pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras.

Theorem 2.8 M_{p^n} contains N_n pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras which are isomorphic to M_p .

Proof. The case $n = 1$ is trivial and the case $n = 2$ is already proven in Theorem 2.6. Assume $M_{p^{n-2}}$ contains N_{n-2} pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras which are isomorphic to M_p . Let $\mathcal{A} \simeq M_p \subset M_{p^{n-2}}$ be one of them. Then we can assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by W and S . On the other hand, M_{p^2} contains $p^2 + 1$ quasi-orthogonal subalgebras which are isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{2p} \simeq \mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathbb{C}^p$ from Proposition 2.2 and the theory of mutually unbiased bases. Let $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathbb{C}^p \subset M_{p^2}$ be one of them. Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is generated by $W \otimes I$ and $I \otimes W$.

We define a homomorphism $\hat{\pi}$ (up to scalar multiple) from Z_p^4 to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ by

$$\hat{\pi}(k, l, m_1, m_2) = S^k W^l \otimes W^{m_1} \otimes W^{m_2}.$$

For any $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, let

$$\hat{C}_{a_0, a_1} = \{b_0(1, 0, a_0, a_1) + b_1(0, 1, a_1 D, a_0) \mid b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$$

and

$$\hat{C}_\infty = \{b_0(0, 0, 1, 0) + b_1(0, 0, 0, 1) \mid b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}.$$

From a similar method of the proof of Lemma 2.4, the only vector common to any pair of above subspaces is $(0, 0, 0, 0)$. Moreover since W and S satisfy (1) and $\mathcal{C}$ is commutative, we have

$$\hat{\pi}(1, 0, a_0, a_1) \hat{\pi}(0, 1, a_1 D, a_0) = \lambda^{-1} \hat{\pi}(0, 1, a_1 D, a_0) \hat{\pi}(1, 0, a_0, a_1).$$

Therefore the algebra $\text{span}\{\hat{\pi}(\hat{C}_{a_0, a_1})\}$ generated by $\hat{\pi}(1, 0, a_0, a_1)$ and $\hat{\pi}(0, 1, a_1 D, a_0)$ is isomorphic to the algebra generated by S and W , that is, M_p . Furthermore the algebra $\text{span}\{\hat{\pi}(\hat{C}_\infty)\}$ generated by $\hat{\pi}(0, 0, 1, 0)$ and $\hat{\pi}(0, 0, 0, 1)$ is $I \otimes \mathcal{C}$. Consequently $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ can be decomposed by $\mathcal{A} \otimes I = \text{span}\{\hat{\pi}(\hat{C}_{0,0})\}$, $I \otimes \mathcal{C}$ and $p^2 - 1$ pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras which are isomorphic to M_p . We denote the $p^2 - 1$ pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras by $\{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}}^k\}_{k=1}^{p^2-1}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{N_{n-2}}$ be pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in $M_{p^{n-2}}$ which are isomorphic to M_p and let $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{p^2+1}$ be pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in M_{p^2} which are isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{p^2}$. For each $\mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \mathcal{A}_{i_2} \subset M_{p^{n-2}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{j_1}, \mathcal{C}_{j_2} \subset M_{p^2}$ with $i_1 \neq i_2$ or $j_1 \neq j_2$, we have

$$(\mathcal{A}_{i_1} \ominus \mathbb{C}I) \otimes (\mathcal{C}_{j_1} \ominus \mathbb{C}I) \perp \mathcal{A}_{i_2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{j_2}.$$

This implies $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \mathcal{C}_{j_1}}^{k_1} \ominus \mathbb{C}I \perp \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}_{i_2}, \mathcal{C}_{j_2}}^{k_2} \ominus \mathbb{C}I$ if $i_1 \neq i_2$ or $j_1 \neq j_2$ or $k_1 \neq k_2$. Furthermore $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \mathcal{C}_{j_1}}^k$ is quasi-orthogonal with $\mathcal{A}_{i_2} \otimes I$ and $I \otimes \mathcal{C}_{j_2}$ for all i_2, j_2 . In consequence, M_{p^n} is decomposed by $M_{p^{n-2}} \otimes I_{M_{p^2}} = \text{span}\{\mathcal{A}_i \otimes I \mid i\}$ and $I_{M_{p^{n-2}}} \otimes M_{p^2} = \text{span}\{I \otimes \mathcal{C}_j \mid j\}$ and $\{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{C}_j}^k\}_{i,j,k}$. From Theorem 2.6, M_{p^2} contains $p^2 + 1$ pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras which are isomorphic to M_p . Therefore we get

$$N_{n-2} + p^2 + 1 + (p^2 - 1)(p^2 + 1)N_{n-2} = N_n$$

pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in M_{p^n} which are isomorphic to M_p . $\square$

3 Quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in $M_{p^{kn}}$

In this section, we consider quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in $M_{p^{kn}}$ which are isomorphic to M_{p^k} . First we consider the case $n = 2$.

$GF(p^k)$ denotes a finite field with p^k elements. Up to isomorphisms, $GF(p^k)$ is unique and is defined using a polynomial

$$f(x) = c_0 + \cdots + c_{k-1}x^{k-1} + x^k$$

that is irreducible over the field Z_p . Then we can write

$$GF(p^k) = \{a(t) = a_0 + a_1t + \cdots + a_{k-1}t^{k-1} \mid a_i \in Z_p, 0 \leq i \leq k-1\},$$

where t satisfies $f(t) = 0$. Let

$$GF(p^k)^4 = \{a = (a^{(1)}(t), a^{(2)}(t), a^{(3)}(t), a^{(4)}(t)) \mid a^{(i)}(t) \in GF(p^k), 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$$

and define a symplectic product:

$$a \circ b = a^{(1)}(t)b^{(2)}(t) - a^{(2)}(t)b^{(1)}(t) + a^{(3)}(t)b^{(4)}(t) - a^{(4)}(t)b^{(3)}(t)$$

for $a = (a^{(1)}(t), a^{(2)}(t), a^{(3)}(t), a^{(4)}(t))$ and $b = (b^{(1)}(t), b^{(2)}(t), b^{(3)}(t), b^{(4)}(t))$ in $GF(p^k)^4$. Similarly, symplectic product on Z_p^{4k} is denoted by

$$u \circ v = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i^{(1)}v_i^{(2)} - u_i^{(2)}v_i^{(1)} + u_i^{(3)}v_i^{(4)} - u_i^{(4)}v_i^{(3)}$$

for $u = (u_i^{(j)})_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq 4}$ and $v = (v_i^{(j)})_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq 4}$ in $Z_p^{4k} = (Z_p^k)^4$. From [4], there exist a linear functional φ on $GF(p^k)$ and a linear isomorphism π_1 from $GF(p^k)^4$ to Z_p^{4k} such that

$$\varphi(a \circ b) = \pi_1(a) \circ \pi_1(b), \quad (3)$$

where we consider $GF(p^k)^4$ and Z_p^{4k} as vector spaces over Z_p . Define a natural homomorphism (up to scalar multiple) π_2 from Z_p^{4k} to $M_{p^{2k}} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^k M_p \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^k M_p$ by

$$\pi_2(u) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^k S^{u_i^{(1)}} W^{u_i^{(2)}} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^k S^{u_i^{(3)}} W^{u_i^{(4)}}.$$

By (1), we have

$$\pi_2(u)\pi_2(v) = \lambda^{-u \circ v} \pi_2(v)\pi_2(u).$$

Lemma 3.1 *If $a \circ b \neq 0$ for $a, b \in GF(p^k)^4$, then the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generated by*

$$\{\pi_2\pi_1(p(t)a), \pi_2\pi_1(q(t)b) \mid p(t), q(t) \in GF(p^k)\}$$

is isomorphic to M_{p^k} , where $p(t)a$ is defined by

$$p(t)(a_1(t), a_2(t), a_3(t), a_4(t)) = (p(t)a_1(t), p(t)a_2(t), p(t)a_3(t), p(t)a_4(t)).$$

Proof. If $\{p(t)a \mid p(t) \in GF(p^k)\} \cap \{q(t)b \mid q(t) \in GF(p^k)\} \neq \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\}$, there exists $r(t) \in GF(p^k)$ such that $a = r(t)b$. This shows $a \circ b = r(t)(b \circ b) = 0$. Hence we can assume that $\{p(t)a\} \cap \{q(t)b\} = \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\}$.

For $q(t) \in GF(p^k)$, let $\psi_{q(t)}$ be a functional on $GF(p^k)$ defined by

$$\psi_{q(t)}(p(t)) = \pi_1(p(t)a) \circ \pi_1(q(t)b),$$

where we consider $GF(p^k)$ as a vector space over Z_p . By (3), we have

$$\psi_{q(t)}(p(t)) = \varphi(p(t)a \circ q(t)b) = \varphi(p(t)q(t)(a \circ b)).$$

Since $a \circ b \neq 0$, $\psi_{q^{(1)}(t)} = \psi_{q^{(2)}(t)}$ implies $q^{(1)}(t) = q^{(2)}(t)$. Therefore we obtain $\{\psi_{q(t)} \mid q(t) \in GF(p^k)\} = GF(p^k)^*$, where $GF(p^k)^*$ is a dual space of $GF(p^k)$.

Let $\{p^{(i)}(t)\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ be a basis of the vector space $GF(p^k)$. Then there exists a basis $\{q^{(j)}\}_{1 \leq j \leq k} \subset GF(p^k)$ such that

$$\varphi(p^{(i)}(t)a \circ q^{(j)}(t)b) = \psi_{q^{(j)}(t)}(p^{(i)}(t)) = \delta_{ij}.$$

For $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, let $S_i = I^{\otimes i-1} \otimes S \otimes I^{\otimes k-i}$ and $W_j = I^{\otimes j-1} \otimes W \otimes I^{\otimes k-j}$ in $M_{p^k} = \bigotimes^k M_p$. We define a map ρ from $\{S_i, W_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq k\}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(S_i) &= \pi_2 \pi_1(p^{(i)}(t)a), \\ \rho(W_j) &= \pi_2 \pi_1(q^{(j)}(t)b). \end{aligned}$$

Then the commutativity condition of $\{S_i, W_j\}$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} S_i W_j &= \lambda^{-\delta_{ij}} W_j S_i, \\ S_i S_j &= S_j S_i, \\ W_i W_j &= W_j W_i \end{aligned}$$

and the commutativity condition of $\{\pi_2 \pi_1(p^{(i)}(t)a), \pi_2 \pi_1(q^{(j)}(t)b) \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq k\}$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_2 \pi_1(p^{(i)}(t)a) \cdot \pi_2 \pi_1(q^{(j)}(t)b) &= \lambda^{-\pi_1(p^{(i)}(t)a) \circ \pi_1(q^{(j)}(t)b)} \cdot \pi_2 \pi_1(q^{(j)}(t)b) \cdot \pi_2 \pi_1(p^{(i)}(t)a) \\ &= \lambda^{-\varphi(p^{(i)}(t)a \circ q^{(j)}(t)b)} \cdot \pi_2 \pi_1(q^{(j)}(t)b) \cdot \pi_2 \pi_1(p^{(i)}(t)a) \\ &= \lambda^{-\delta_{ij}} \cdot \pi_2 \pi_1(q^{(j)}(t)b) \cdot \pi_2 \pi_1(p^{(i)}(t)a), \\ \pi_2 \pi_1(p^{(i)}(t)a) \cdot \pi_2 \pi_1(p^{(j)}(t)a) &= \pi_2 \pi_1(p^{(j)}(t)a) \cdot \pi_2 \pi_1(p^{(i)}(t)a), \\ \pi_2 \pi_1(q^{(i)}(t)b) \cdot \pi_2 \pi_1(q^{(j)}(t)b) &= \pi_2 \pi_1(q^{(j)}(t)b) \cdot \pi_2 \pi_1(q^{(i)}(t)b) \end{aligned}$$

are same. Hence ρ can be extended to an isomorphism from M_{p^k} generated by $\{S_i, W_j\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$ to $\mathcal{A}$. $\square$

From this lemma, we need to find such a and b . Let D be a non-zero element in $GF(p^k)$ with the requirement that $D \neq p(t)^2$ for all $p(t)$ in $GF(p^k)$. For any $a(t), b(t) \in GF(p^k)$, define a subspace of $GF(p^k)^4$ by

$$C_{a(t), b(t)} = \{p(t)(1, b(t), 0, a(t)) + q(t)(0, a(t), -1, b(t)D) \mid p(t), q(t) \in GF(p^k)\}.$$

Moreover, put

$$C_\infty = \{p(t)(0, 1, 0, 0) + q(t)(0, 0, 0, 1) \mid p(t), q(t) \in GF(p^k)\}.$$

Lemma 3.2 *The only vector common to any pair of above subspaces is $(0, 0, 0, 0)$. In particular, the subspaces except $(0, 0, 0, 0)$ partition $GF(p^k)^4 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\}$.*

Proof. This proof is same as Lemma 2.4. $\square$

Since $(1, b(t), 0, a(t)) \circ (0, a(t), -1, b(t)D) = 2a(t)$, if $a(t) \neq 0$ then

$$\text{span}\{\pi_2\pi_1(C_{a(t),b(t)})\} \simeq M_{p^k}$$

by Lemma 3.1. But if $a(t) = 0$, the algebra is commutative and hence $\text{span}\{\pi_2\pi_1(C_{a(t),b(t)})\} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{p^{2k}}$. Therefore we need to mix the subspaces with $a(t) = 0$.

For any $a(t) \in GF(p^k)$, define subspaces by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{a(t)} &= \{p(t)(1, 1, -a(t), a(t)D) + q(t)(1, 2, -a(t), 2a(t)D) \mid p(t), q(t) \in GF(p^k)\} \\ D_\infty &= \{p(t)(0, 0, 1, 0) + q(t)(0, 0, 0, 1) \mid p(t), q(t) \in GF(p^k)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3 *The only vector common to any pair of above subspaces is $(0, 0, 0, 0)$. Moreover we have*

$$\bigcup_{a(t) \in GF(p^k)} D_{a(t)} \cup D_\infty = \bigcup_{b(t) \in GF(p^k)} C_{0,b(t)} \cup C_\infty.$$

Proof. This proof is same as Lemma 2.5. $\square$

Since $(1, 1, -a(t), a(t)D) \circ (1, 2, -a(t), 2a(t)D) = 1 - a(t)^2 D \neq 0$ by the assumption of D and $(0, 0, 1, 0) \circ (0, 0, 0, 1) = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{span}\{\pi_2\pi_1(D_{a(t)})\} &\simeq M_{p^k}, \\ \text{span}\{\pi_2\pi_1(D_\infty)\} &\simeq M_{p^k} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.1. Consequently we have the next theorem.

Theorem 3.4 *There are $p^{2k} + 1$ pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in $M_{p^{2k}}$ which are isomorphic to M_{p^k} .*

Next we consider pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in $M_{p^{kn}}$ which are isomorphic to M_{p^k} . The dimensions of $M_{p^{kn}} \ominus \mathbb{C}I$ and $M_{p^k} \ominus \mathbb{C}I$ are $p^{2kn} - 1$ and $p^{2k} - 1$, respectively. Hence such subalgebras are at most $N_{(k,n)} = p^{2kn} - 1 / p^{2k} - 1$. We construct $N_{(k,n)}$ pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras.

Theorem 3.5 *$M_{p^{kn}}$ contains $N_{(k,n)}$ pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras which are isomorphic to M_{p^k} .*

Proof. The case $n = 1$ is trivial and the case $n = 2$ is already proven in Theorem 3.4. Assume $M_{p^{k(n-2)}}$ contains $N_{(k,n-2)}$ pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras which are isomorphic to M_{p^k} . Let $\mathcal{A} \simeq M_{p^k} \subset M_{p^{k(n-2)}}$ be one of them. Then we can assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by $\{S_i, W_j\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$. On the other hand, $M_{p^{2k}}$ contains $N_{(k,2)} = p^{2k} + 1$ quasi-orthogonal subalgebras which are isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{p^{2k}} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{p^k} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{p^k}$ from Proposition 2.2 and the theory of mutually unbiased bases. Let $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{p^k} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{p^k} \subset M_{p^{2k}}$ be one of them. Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is generated by $\{W_i \otimes I, I \otimes W_j\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$.

Define a homomorphism $\hat{\pi}_2$ (up to scalar multiple) from Z_p^{4k} to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ by

$$\hat{\pi}_2(u_i^{(j)}) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^k S_i^{u_i^{(1)}} W_i^{u_i^{(2)}} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^k W_i^{u_i^{(3)}} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^k W_i^{u_i^{(4)}},$$

for $(u_i^{(j)})_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq 4} \in Z_p^{4k}$. We denote other symplectic products by

$$a \hat{\circ} b = a^{(1)}(t)b^{(2)}(t) - a^{(2)}(t)b^{(1)}(t)$$

for $a = (a^{(1)}(t), a^{(2)}(t), a^{(3)}(t), a^{(4)}(t))$ and $b = (b^{(1)}(t), b^{(2)}(t), b^{(3)}(t), b^{(4)}(t))$ in $GF(p^k)^4$, and

$$u \hat{\circ} v = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i^{(1)} v_i^{(2)} - u_i^{(2)} v_i^{(1)}$$

for $u = (u_i^{(j)})_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq 4}$ and $v = (v_i^{(j)})_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq 4}$ in Z_p^{4k} . Since $\mathcal{C}$ is commutative, we have

$$\hat{\pi}_2(u) \hat{\pi}_2(v) = \lambda^{-u \hat{\circ} v} \hat{\pi}_2(v) \hat{\pi}_2(u).$$

Moreover from [4], there exists a homomorphism $\hat{\pi}_1$ from $GF(p^k)^4$ to Z_p^{4k} such that

$$\varphi(a \hat{\circ} b) = \hat{\pi}_1(a) \hat{\circ} \hat{\pi}_1(b).$$

Here for elements $a = (a^{(1)}(t), a^{(2)}(t), 0, 0)$ and $b = (0, 0, b^{(3)}(t), b^{(4)}(t))$ in $GF(p^k)^4$, we can assume

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\pi}_1(a) &= ((u_i^{(1)}), (u_j^{(2)}), 0, 0) \\ \hat{\pi}_1(b) &= (0, 0, (v_i^{(3)}), (v_j^{(4)})) \end{aligned}$$

for some $(u_i^{(1)}), (u_j^{(2)}), (v_i^{(3)}), (v_j^{(4)}) \in Z_p^k$. Then we can prove that the algebra generated by $\hat{\pi}_2 \hat{\pi}_1(a)$ and $\hat{\pi}_2 \hat{\pi}_1(b)$ is isomorphic to M_{p^k} , if $a \hat{\circ} b \neq 0$, by using a similar proof of Lemma 3.1.

For any $a(t), b(t) \in GF(p^k)$, let

$$\hat{C}_{a(t), b(t)} = \{p(t)(1, 0, a(t), b(t)) + q(t)(0, 1, b(t)D, a(t)) \mid p(t), q(t) \in GF(p^k)\}$$

and

$$\hat{C}_\infty = \{p(t)(0, 0, 1, 0) + q(t)(0, 0, 0, 1) \mid p(t), q(t) \in GF(p^k)\}.$$

From the similar method of the proof of Lemma 2.4, the only vector common to any pair of above subspaces is $(0, 0, 0, 0)$. Since $(1, 0, a(t), b(t)) \circ (0, 1, b(t)D, a(t)) = 1$, we obtain

$$\text{span}\{\hat{\pi}_2 \hat{\pi}_1(\hat{C}_{a(t), b(t)})\} \simeq M_{p^k}.$$

Moreover we have

$$\text{span}\{\hat{\pi}_2 \hat{\pi}_1(\hat{C}_\infty)\} = I \otimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{p^{2k}}.$$

Consequently $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ can be decomposed by $\mathcal{A} \otimes I = \text{span}\{\hat{\pi}_2 \hat{\pi}_1(\hat{C}_{0,0})\}$, $I \otimes \mathcal{C} = \text{span}\{\hat{\pi}_2 \hat{\pi}_1(\hat{C}_\infty)\}$ and $p^{2k} - 1$ pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras which are isomorphic to M_{p^k} . We denote the $p^{2k} - 1$ pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras by $\{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}}^k\}_{k=1}^{p^{2k}-1}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{N_{(k, n-2)}}$ be pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in $M_{p^{k(n-2)}}$ which are isomorphic to M_{p^k} and let $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{N_{(k, 2)}}$ be pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in $M_{p^{2k}}$ which are isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{p^{2k}}$. Since

$$(\mathcal{A}_{i_1} \ominus \mathbb{C}I) \otimes (\mathcal{C}_{j_1} \ominus \mathbb{C}I) \perp \mathcal{A}_{i_2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{j_2}$$

for $i_1 \neq i_2$ or $j_1 \neq j_2$, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \mathcal{C}_{j_1}}^{k_1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}_{i_2}, \mathcal{C}_{j_2}}^{k_2}$ are quasi-orthogonal if $i_1 \neq i_2$ or $j_1 \neq j_2$ or $k_1 \neq k_2$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}_{i_1}, \mathcal{C}_{j_1}}^{k_1}$ is quasi-orthogonal with $\mathcal{A}_{i_2} \otimes I_{M_{p^{2k}}}$ and $I_{M_{p^{k(n-2)}}} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{j_2}$ for any i_2 and j_2 . Therefore we can decompose $M_{p^{kn}}$ by $M_{p^{k(n-2)}} \otimes I_{M_{p^{2k}}} = \text{span}\{\mathcal{A}_i \otimes I\}$, $I_{M_{p^{k(n-2)}}} \otimes M_{p^{2k}} = \text{span}\{I \otimes \mathcal{C}_j\}$ and $\{\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{C}_j}^k\}_{i,j,k}$. In consequence, we get

$$N_{(k, n-2)} + N_{(k, 2)} + (p^{2k} - 1)N_{(k, 2)}N_{(k, n-2)} = N_{(k, n)}$$

pairwise quasi-orthogonal subalgebras in $M_{p^{kn}}$ which are isomorphic to M_{p^k} . $\square$

References

- [1] S. Bandyopadhyay, P.O. Boykin, V. Roychowdhury and F. Vatan, A new proof for the existence of mutually unbiased bases, *Algoritmica* **34** (2002), 512–528.
- [2] P.O. Boykin, M. Sitharam, P.H. Tiep and P. Wocjan, Mutually unbiased bases and orthogonal decompositions of Lie algebras, *Quantum Inf. comput.* **7**(4) (2007), 371–382.
- [3] H. Ohno, D. Petz and A. Szántó, Quasi-orthogonal subalgebras of 4×4 matrices, *Linear Alg. Appl.* **425** (2007), 109–118.
- [4] O. Pittenger and M. H. Rubin, Mutually unbiased bases, generalized spin matrices and separability, *Linear Alg. Appl.* **390** (2004), 255–278.
- [5] S. Popa, Orthogonal pairs of $*$ -subalgebras in finite von Neumann algebras, *J. Oper. Theory* **9** (1983), 253–268.

- [6] D. Petz, Complementarity in quantum systems, Rep. Math. Phys. **59** (2007), 209-224.
- [7] D. Petz, Quantum information and quantum statistics, Springer, 2007.
- [8] D. Petz and J. Kahn, Complementary reductions for two qubits, J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007), 012107.